

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/937,457	09/24/2001	Franz-Josef Becker	512100-2020	9510
20999	7590 10/15/2003		EXAMI	NER
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG			RINEHART, KENNETH	
745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL. NEW YORK, NY 10151			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
<u>.</u>	,		3749	
			DATE MAILED: 10/15/2003	15

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/937,457	BECKER ET AL.				
7. <b></b>	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kenneth B Rinehart	3749				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover she t with the c	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 06 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appears amination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application in the same of this application in the same of the sa	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The datase been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three motarned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF</li> </ol>	•					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:					
(a) M they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	(see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or simplifying the				
(d)  they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejections:	ction(s):					
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment				
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
7.☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)☐ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. $\square$ The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disap	proved by the Examiner.				
9.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·				
0. Other:						
		Monsau Monsau Kenneth Rinehart Patent Examiner				
		ΔΙΙ 37/10				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01)

Application No.

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/937,457



Continuation of 2. NOTE: It should be kept in mind that applicant cannot as a matter of right amend any finally reject d claims after a final rejection. (MPEP 714.13) The applicant has also failed to provid a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the amendments ar necessary and were not artier presented (37 CFR 1.116). Further, the addition of exemplary limitations of a mixtur of various embodiments rais new issues that would require further consideration. Consequently, the amendment will not be intered.